

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP 1320 MAIN STREET, 17TH FLOOR COLUMBIA SC 29201

COPY MAILED

NOV **0 2** 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Todd L. Phillips : DECISION ON PETITION

Application No. 10/698,330 : Filed: 31 October, 2003 :

Atty Docket No. 15911/09063

This is a decision on the petition, filed on 5 July, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.137(f) which is treated as a petition to revive the above-identified nonprovisional application under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the present nonprovisional application is the subject of foreign application(s) filed on 15 and 20 October, 2004. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

(1) the reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;

- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The present petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded.

A projected publication date will be assigned in due course. Petitioner will be notified of this date in a separate correspondence.

The application is being referred to Technology Center 2800 for examination in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at 571.272.3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions